

## REMARKS

The Final Office Action of 23 November 2005 explained that the upper and lower tension regions and their positions relative to a person exercising did not constitute structural limitations and therefore were not considered. The amendments add pulleys in the defined relationship to the person exercising and specify that cords are reeved over the pulleys to constitute a structure that must be considered. The claimed cords reaching to the claimed pulleys in the defined locations are distinctively different from anything suggested in the Cluff reference. Also, the Cluff suggestions cannot be extended beyond Cluff's actual teaching to encompass the different arrangements proposed in applicant's claims.

Applicant intends by this amendment to put all the claims remaining in the application in an allowable state covering subject matter similar to what has been previously allowed. For any question on this, the examiner is invited to call applicant's attorney.

Respectfully Submitted:  
Yong Woo Kim

By: 

Eugene S. Stephens, Reg. No. 20,649, Attorney for Applicant  
BROWN & MICHAELS, P.C.  
400 M&T Bank Building - 118 N. Tioga St.  
Ithaca, NY 14850  
(607) 256-2000 • (607) 256-3628 (fax)  
e-mail: [docket@bpmlegal.com](mailto:docket@bpmlegal.com)  
Dated: February 21, 2006